

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
NASHVILLE DIVISION

DONNA ROXIE MARIE FLETCHER,

Plaintiff,

v.

ANDREW M. SAUL, COMMISSIONER  
OF SOCIAL SECURITY  
ADMINISTRATION,

Defendant.

No. 3:18-cv-00111

JUDGE RICHARDSON

**ORDER**

Pending before the Court is a Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Doc. No. 38), recommending that Plaintiff's Petition for EAJA Fees Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2312(d) (Doc. No. 28) be granted in part. No Objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed.

The failure to object to a report and recommendation releases the Court from its duty to independently review the matter. *Frias v. Frias*, No. 2:18-cv-00076, 2019 WL 549506, at \* 2 (M.D. Tenn. Feb. 12, 2019); *Hart v. Bee Property Mgmt.*, Case No. 18-cv-11851, 2019 WL 1242372, at \* 1 (E.D. Mich. March 18, 2019) (citing *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985)). The district court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, those aspects of the report and recommendation to which no objection is made. *Ashraf v. Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc.*, 322 F. Supp. 3d 879, 881 (W.D. Tenn. 2018); *Benson v. Walden Security*, Case No. 3:18-cv-0010, 2018 WL 6322332, at \* 3 (M.D. Tenn. Dec. 4, 2018). The district court should adopt the magistrate judge's findings and rulings to which no specific objection is filed. *Id.*

The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation and the file. The Report and Recommendation is adopted and approved. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Petition for EAJA Fees Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2312(d) (Doc. No.28) is **GRANTED** in part, and Plaintiff is awarded attorney's fees in the amount of \$7,511.34, and paralegal fees in the amount of \$12.00, as set forth in the Report and Recommendation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ELI RICHARDSON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE